

PII: S0959-8049(97)00188-3

Special Paper

Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Liaison Office: an Attempt to Organise Clinical Research in Europe

H. Bleiberg, B. Gerard, L. Wils, G. Blijham, E. Diaz-Rubio, R. Herrmann, D. Kerr, R. Labianca, Ph. Rougier, H.J. Wilke and N. Wilking No. Wilking No. Wilking R. Labianca, Description Research Research

¹Dept. of Oncology, CHU Bordet, 1 Rue Héger Bordet, 1000 Brussels, Belgium; ²Dept. of Oncology, Laurentius Hospital, Roermond; ³Dept. of Internal Medicine, Academisch Ziekenhuis, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ⁴Dept. of Oncology, San Carlos Hospital, Madrid, Spain; ⁵Dept. of Internal Medicine, Kantonsspital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ⁶Dept. of Oncology, CRC Institute for Cancer Studies, Birmingham, U.K.; ⁷Division of Medical Oncology, San Carlo Borromeo Hospital, Milan, Italy; ⁸Dept. of Gastroenterology, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; ⁹Dept. of Internal Medicine, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Essen, Germany; and ¹⁰Dept. of Oncology, The Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

The Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Liaison Office (GITCLO) was developed in an attempt to organise the increasing body of clinical research in gastrointestinal tumours in Europe. This paper represents an analysis, by tumour localisation, of the trials collected for the second edition of the GITCLO booklet. The list of co-operative groups, chairmen and study co-ordinators is given with their respective telephone and telefax numbers. A total of 84 trials were collected, conducted by 46 co-operative groups in 14 countries. For each organ and stage of disease, a summary of concepts investigated is given with the references of the study co-ordinator. Obviously, too many questions are raised at the same time. In colorectal cancer, for example, a total of 41 trials exploring 22 concepts are currently open for patients' registration. We hope that the present attempt to clarify the situation of clinical research in the field of gastrointestinal cancers in Europe will speed up therapeutic progress in the best interest of the patients. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Key words: cancer, gastrointestinal, clinical trials, chemotherapy, surgery, radiotherapy, co-operative groups, colon, gastric, oesophagus

Eur J Cancer, Vol. 33, No. 10, pp. 1536-1545, 1997

INTRODUCTION

FOR MANY years clinical research was a prerogative of only a few research groups with heavy computer systems and the support of well-trained biostatisticians that gave them the capability of launching well-designed studies and properly interpreting the results. The Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Co-operative Group (GITCCG) of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) was created in 1972 with the support of the National Cancer Institute of the U.S.A. Then, most of the so-called large series did not exceed 200–300 patients and the accrual rate was generally rather slow. The idea of randomising a patient

in a study was, by itself, considered unethical by most of the European physicians. Contrarily to standard practice in the U.S., the patients were barely told the truth regarding their diagnosis and prognosis, particularly if they had potentially lethal disseminated cancer.

Then, only a few agents were used for treating gastrointestinal tract (GIT) cancers, mainly 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), semustin (methyl-CCNU) and vincristine in colorectal cancer; 5FU, doxorubicin (DOX) and mitomycin C (MMC) for gastric cancer; and streptozotocin for pancreatic cancers. The results were poor. GIT cancers were generally considered resistant to chemotherapy and most of the medical oncologists were reluctant to investigate this group of patients.

The first EORTC studies mainly focused on radiotherapy in rectal cancer [1], in gastric cancer [2] and in oesophageal cancer [3]. During the late 1980s, together with better results

obtained in colon and gastric cancers [4–6], computer systems became more powerful and cheaper. These two facts, combined with the advent of a new generation of well-trained biostatisticians, stimulated the efflorescence of national or even regional co-operative groups embarking on studies including an increasing number of patients.

The Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Liaison Office (GIT-CLO) was developed in an attempt to organise the increasing body of clinical research in gastrointestinal tumours in Europe. Our first objective was to identify all the clinical trials on cancers of the digestive system handled by multicentre co-operative groups. The second objective, more ambitious, was to promote and facilitate interactions between researchers, help them to improve their research and stimulate intergroup studies.

The first edition of the GITCLO booklet was published in 1994 [7]. It included 79 trials conducted by 26 co-operative groups in 12 European countries. This paper represents an analysis, by tumour localisation, of the trials collected for the second edition of the GITCLO.

Collection of data

In most European countries, there is no centralised structure able to identify all the groups which, in their country, are involved in multicentric studies. If national structures do exist, they may ignore regional groups undertaking high-quality studies. Moreover, medical oncologists, surgeons or radiotherapists may run trials unknown to each other, making their identification difficult.

The present list has been collected by asking persons known to be involved in clinical research if they are aware of other groups working in the field of gastrointestinal tract cancer. In each country one person was nominated to verify with the co-ordinator of the GITCLO that all the groups in his country had been properly identified. However, considering this type of approach, it cannot be excluded that some groups remain unnoticed. Canadian investigators through the CNCI CTG have some collaboration with the EORTC and some of their studies that we considered of interest for the European investigators have been included in the current list. A standardised clinical descriptive form was sent to all the chairpersons or study co-ordinators regarding their co-operative group: full name, address, telephone and fax numbers of the responsible officers, denomination, aim, design, summary and present status of the trial. The list of the study co-ordinators with their telephone and fax numbers is given in Appendix 1. The co-operative groups, with the chairperson's name and telephone and fax numbers, are given in Appendix 2. Published papers are listed in the reference list. In the next chapter the name of the study co-ordinator identifies an ongoing project.

REVIEW BY TUMOUR TYPE

Oesophagus

Neoadjuvant. In operable oesophageal cancer, the benefit for survival of pre-operative radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy remains questionable [8]. The Fondation Française de Cancérologie Digestive (FFCD) and the EORTC Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Co-operative Group (GITCCTG) have investigated the combination of split course pre-operative radiotherapy (2×20 Gy) with cisplatin (CDDP) 80 mg/m^2 before each course of radiotherapy. No survival benefit over surgery alone could be demonstrated so

far (data not published). The place of preoperative therapy versus surgery alone is being further investigated in two studies: (a) chemotherapy alone: two 4-day courses of cisplatin and 5-FU, at a 3-week interval (D.J. Girling); (b) Chemoradiotherapy with two cycles of CDDP/VP16 concomitant to radiotherapy and two additional cycles before surgery in case of response (T. Kok).

Adjuvant. The place of postoperative treatment has not been properly investigated. The French Association for Surgical Research is investigating the role of a split-course radiotherapy (20 Gy and 24 Gy at a 4-week interval) and 5-FU 1000 mg/m² with CDDP 20 mg/m²/day, for 5 consecutive days every 42 days for 4 cycles, to be started not beyond 45 days following curative surgery, versus surgery alone (A. Fingerhut).

Locally advanced. In patients with locally advanced T3 N0-1 M0 oesophageal cancer, various questions are raised and some trials are proposed:

- (1) What is the role of surgery? A trial is comparing radiochemotherapy (5-FU/CDDP) with surgery to intensified radiochemotherapy alone (L. Bedenne).
- (2) Which type of radiotherapy should be used? A trial is comparing split-course (2×20 Gy) to prolonged (50 Gy/25 fractions) radiotherapy both combined to 5-FU and CDDP (J.F. Seitz).
- (3) What is the most effective chemotherapy treatment to be combined with radiotherapy? A phase I study is comparing protracted venous infusion of 5-FU and daily CDDP with traditional external beam radiation (J.F. Bosset).
- (4) Which is the best prosthesis to relief tumour obstruction? A trial is comparing celestin tube to wallstent prosthesis (P.D. Siersema).

Advanced. Disseminated oesophageal cancer has been poorly investigated mainly due to the poor performance status of these patients. The GITCCG has performed a comparison of 5-FU/CDDP versus CDDP alone showing the poor tolerance of these patients to an aggressive treatment [9]. In a previous phase II study, navelbine 25 mg/m² D1 and 8 every 3 weeks disclosed a 25% response rate with a good tolerance [10].

Only two phase II trials are currently investigating advanced stage.

- (a) The combination of navelbine (25 mg/m² d1 and 8 every 3 weeks) and CDDP (80 mg/m² d1 every 3 weeks) is under investigation by the EORTC-GITCCG (T. Conroy).
- (b) The randomised phase II of FP (5-FU 800 mg/m² ci d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2) versus FLP (5-FU 350 mg/m² i.v. bolus d1-5, folinic acid 100 mg/m² d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2) run by the FFCD (M. Ychou).

Gastric cancer

Adjuvant and neoadjuvant. Adjuvant treatment of gastric cancer after curative surgery is not established. Although many studies have been performed, the small number of patients included and the lack of an adequate methodology do not allow any conclusion to be drawn [11].

Four current trials question the role of chemotherapy after curative surgery for tumours of any stage.

- (a) FAMTX (methotrexate, MTX, $1.5 \,\text{g/m}^2$ d1, 5-FU $1.5 \,\text{g/m}^2$ d1, doxorubicin, DOX, $30 \,\text{mg/m}^2$ d15, every 4 weeks \times 6) (D. Nitti).
- (b) FEMTX similar to FAMTX except for epirubicin 70 mg/m² replacing DOX (J. Wils).
- (c) 5-FU/CDDP (5-FU 800 mg/m² ci, d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2 (Ph. Rougier).
- (d) PELF (CDDP, epirubicin, 5-FU, FA) (F. Di Costanzo).

One study investigates the role of intraperitoneal chemotherapy (mytomycin C, MMC, 50 mg bound to activated charcoal suspension) in radically resected gastric cancers stage T3 or T4 (H. Rosen).

The concept of neoadjuvant therapy is appealing and is being evaluated in two trials.

- (a) FLP in T3 or T4 Nx M0 tumours (FA 500 mg/m² i.v., 2 h infusion, 5-FU 2.0 g/m² 24 h ci weekly × 5, CDDP 50 mg/m² i.v. 1 h infusion d1,15,29, repeated on day 50 for 3 cycles) (U. Fink, H.J. Wilke).
- (b) FAMTX in cancers of any stage for four courses (I. Songun).

One study is investigating ECF (epirubicin 50 mg/m², CDDP 60 mg/m² every 3 weeks, 5-FU 200 mg/m² daily) given 3 cycles before and 3 cycles after surgery (D. Cunningham, W. Allum).

Local recurrence is a major site of failure even after curative surgery. The role of radiotherapy in that occurrence has not been adequately investigated. One trial is exploring that approach with intra-operative radiotherapy (15 Gy) and postoperative external beam radiation (40–44 Gy) versus surgery alone (F. Guillemin).

Advanced disease. 'Second-generation' regimens have been developed in advanced gastric cancer [12]. Established active treatments in gastric cancer include FAMTX [6], 5-FU/CDDP [13], ELF [14], EAP [15], ECF [16]. Currently running phase III trials in advanced gastric cancer are comparing:

- (a) FEMTX to FEMTX plus neupogen (J. Wils);
- (b) FAMTX to PELF (CDDP, epidoxorubicin, FA and 5-FU) (G. Cocconi);
- (c) weekly \times 6 high-dose 5-FU 3.0 g/m² every 50 days, versus weekly \times 6 high-dose 5-FU 2.6 g/m² and FA 250 mg/m² every 50 days, versus weekly \times 6 high-dose 5-FU 2.0 g/m², FA 250 mg/m², CDDP 50 mg/m² (day 1,15,29) every 50 days, versus FAMTX (H.J. Wilke, J. Wils).

Four phase II trials are investigating various schedules including intensive weekly chemotherapy.

- (a) 5-FU, (500 mg/m² bolus i.v.), epidoxorubicin (35 mg/m²), CDDP (40 mg/m²), FA (250 mg/m²), glutathione (1500 mg/m²) and G-CSF (5 μ g/kg) (S. Cascinu).
- (b) Weekly high-dose 5-FU (3 g/m² c.i. over 48 h) (A. Cervantes-Ruiperez).
- (c) Docetaxel 85 mg/m² and CDDP 75 mg/m² (A. Roth).
- (d) FLP (FA 100 mg/m², 5-FU 350 mg/m² bolus d 1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2), versus FP (5-FU 800 mg/m² c.i. d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2) (M. Ychou).

Gastric lymphoma

Three trials are investigating the treatment of low-grade gastric lymphomas, two as a phase II anti-helicobacter therapy alone (A. Ruskone-Fourmestreaux, J. Raemaekers) one

as a phase III comparing anti-helicobacter therapy to chlorambucil (R. Souhami). One is also investigating, in lymphoma of any stage, treatments adjusted to the level of malignancy (A. Ruskone-Fourmestreaux).

Hepatocarcinoma

Hepatocarcinomas are insensitive to any therapy: chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiation [17]. Despite some evidence of a benefit in survival when using chemoembolisation or alcoholisation for limited tumours, the available data do not show any benefit in survival as compared to the patients receiving no treatment [18]. The presence of androgen and oestrogen receptors, predominantly in the malignant liver cells, makes hormonotherapy appealing. Anti-androgen therapy does not improve survival [19]. Anti-oestrogen therapy trials disclosed contradictory results [20, 21].

Trying to generate definitive data, the EORTC-GITCCG is launching a trial of anti-oestrogen therapy with 20 mg tamoxifen versus placebo for patients at any stage and after any treatment modality (H. Bleiberg).

Biliary tract cancer

The outcome of biliary tract cancer is dismal. There is no recognised effective treatment. The EORTC-GITCCG is starting a randomised phase II of 5-FU $3 \, \text{g/m}^2$ c.i. over 24 h weekly \times 6 every 50 days versus FA 250 mg/m², 2h i.v. infusion followed by 5-FU 2.0 g/m² c.i. over 24 h weekly \times 6 and CDDP $50 \, \text{mg/m}^2$, 1h i.v. infusion d1,15,29 every 50 days (E. Van Cutsem, M. Ducreux).

Endocrine tumours

Presently, the Rotterdam Cancer Centre is running a study comparing the efficacy of Sandostatin, Intron-A and the combination of both (J.G.M. Klijn).

Pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most aggressive cancers with very few cases being resectable and most advanced tumours being unresponsive to chemo- and radiotherapy. One surgical question is being investigated in a trial comparing standard to pyloris preserving surgery (D.C.M. Meijder).

Adjuvant. An adjuvant study is comparing:

- (a) split-course radiotherapy (40 Gy) with 5-FU as a radiosensitiser (500 mg/m² d1-3 of each radiotherapy course) with
- (b) systemic chemotherapy (FA 20 mg/m² i.v. bolus before 5-FU 475 mg/m² i.v. bolus d1-5 every 4 weeks for 6 months) with
- (c) radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy (i.e. combining the two previous arms) with
- (d) a group of patients receiving no adjuvant treatment (J. Neoptolemos).

Advanced. Reviews of chemotherapy treatments of metastatic pancreatic cancer showed that chemotherapy has no clinically meaningful activity [22, 23]. Nevertheless, two recent studies that compared chemotherapy with best supportive care showed a significant benefit for chemotherapy [24, 25]. For locally advanced disease, the combination of chemotherapy and radiotherapy probably offers the best palliation and should be further investigated [26].

The new camptothecin derivative, CPT-11, is poorly active [27], while docetaxel seems more promising [28].

More recently, based on an enlarged definition of the clinical benefit, gemcitabine has been proposed as a standard treatment in the U.S.A. [29]. Despite these results, it is generally considered in Europe that, for the time being, treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer should remain within the scope of formal clinical trials.

Presently, three randomised phase II trials are exploring various combinations.

- (a) 5-FU (1000 mg/m² d 1-4 ci), CDDP (100 mg/m² d1), with or without interferon alpha 2b (3MU/d1-5 every 4 weeks (T. Kok).
- (b) 5-FU bolus (500 mg/m² d1-5 every 4 weeks) versus 5-FU continuous infusion (1000 mg/m² d1-5) (Ph. Rougier).
- (c) FLP (FA 100 mg/m², 5-FU 350 mg/m² bolus d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2) versus FP (5-FU 800 mg/m² c.i. d1-5, CDDP 100 mg/m² d1 or 2) (M. Ychou).

Colorectal cancer

Colorectal cancer is extremely frequent, accounting for approximately 150 000 new cases per year in Europe. Approximately two-thirds of the patients will die from advanced disease.

Adjuvant. Surgery is the only chance for cure and considerable efforts have been made to improve the benefit of surgery with adjuvant chemotherapy. Three regimens have

disclosed an advantage in survival, one with 5-FU and levamisole, two with 5-FU and leucovorin [30, 31].

Attempts to improve these results are many. Sixteen trials have been launched in various European countries. The expected number of patients for each trial varies from 400 to 4000. Overall, 10 concepts are being investigated by using 19 schedules of chemotherapy (Table 1).

- (a) Six trials are comparing chemotherapy to control (B. Cedermark, B. Glimelius, R. Jakesz, A. Jakobsen, K.M. Tveit, J. Bury, S. Simnett).
- (b) Three trials are comparing modulated 5-FU with 5-FU alone (R. Herrmann, J. Bury, D. Cunningham).
- (c) Five trials are comparing various modalities of 5-FU modulation (R. Jakesz, Ph. Rougier, D. Kerr, B. Cedermark, B. Glimelius, A. Sobrero).
- (d) One trial is comparing intraportal 5-FU with intraportal plus systemic chemotherapy (R. Herrmann) and two are comparing intraportal plus systemic with systemic chemotherapy alone (B. Nordlinger, Ph. Rougier, G. Zeitoun).
- (e) One trial is comparing high- versus low-dose FA before 5-FU (D. Kerr).
- (f) One trial is investigating immunotherapy (J. Wilkinson).
- (g) One trial is investigating intraportal chemotherapy (R. Labianca).

Table 1. Treatment concepts investigated in adjuvant colorectal cancer

	Concepts	Co-ordinator
(a)	Chemotherapy versus control FU/FA versus surgery alone FU/LEV versus surgery alone FU/FA/LEV versus surgery alone FU IP versus surgery alone	Cedermark, Glimelius, Jakesz Jakobsen, Tveit Cedermark Bury, Simnett
(b)	FU modulation versus FU alone FU/LEV versus FU FU/FA versus FU	Herrmann Bury, Cunningham
(c)	FU/LEV versus FU other modulation FU/LEV versus FU/LEV/IFN FU/LEV versus FU/LEV/FA FU/LEV versus FU/LEV/FA/IFN FU/LEV versus FU/FA	Jakesz Jakesz Jakesz Nordlinger, Rougier, Plukker
(d)	FU/FA versus FU other modulation FU/FA versus FU/FA/LEV	Kerr, Cedermark
(e)	FU multiple modulation versus other multiple modulation FU/LEV/FA versus FU/LEV/MTX	Sobrero
(f)	FU intraportal versus systemic FU IP versus FU FU IP versus FU/LEV FU IP vs FU/FA	Herrmann Herrmann Labianca
(g)	FU systemic versus FU intraportal + FU systemic FU/FA versus FU IP + FU/FA FU/LEV versus FU IP + FU/LEV FU/FA/LEV versus FU IP + FU/FA/LEV	Nordlinger, Rougier, Labianca Nordlinger, Rougier Zeitoun
(h)	High-dose FA versus low-dose FA FA 200 mg/m ² versus FA 20 mg/m ²	Kerr
(i)	Immunotherapy FU/FA versus Panorex FU/FA versus FU/FA + Panorex	Wilkinson Wilkinson

Advanced. Advanced colorectal cancer has long been considered poorly sensitive to chemotherapy. Actually, 5-FU was the only active agent available, generally given intravenously as a bolus. The response rate probably does not exceed 15% [32]. Biochemical modulation of 5-FU, mainly with the use of folinic acid and methotrexate, produced higher response rates and a median survival time that, in major studies, appeared to be around 1 year [33, 34]. Prolonged infusion time over 24 or 48 h or indefinitely also seemed to improve response rates with potential benefit on survival [35–37]. Finally, the chronomodulation of 5-FU seems to be superior to continuous, flat administration [38].

Despite this obvious progress, the prognosis of the patients with advanced colorectal cancer remains dismal. However, new agents, 'Tomudex' [39], CPT-11 [40] and oxaliplatin [41] are available in some countries. These may lead the way to improvements in this area.

This and the high occurrence of advanced colorectal cancer may explain the large number of studies running in Europe. 18 trials are exploring 12 concepts (Table 2).

- (a) One trial is comparing early treatment, at an asymptomatic stage, to late treatment when the patient has symptoms (A.M. Sargeant).
- (b) Three trials are comparing continuous infusion to bolus 5-FU (E. Aranda Aguilar, H.J. Schmoll, C.H. Köhne, H.J. Weh, A. Sobrero, R. Labianca).
- (c) Two trials are investigating 5-FU modulation (F. Di Costanzo, M. Borner) among which one is still comparing 5-FU bolus with and without low-dose FA (M. Borner).
- (d) One trial is giving 3 different doses of 5-FU (400, 500, 600 mg/m²) combined with FA (B. Glimelius).
- (e) Three trials are comparing different schedules of 5-FU continuous infusion (H.J. Schmoll, C.H. Köhne, D. Cunningham, T. Maughan).
- (f) One trial is comparing constant 5-FU infusion with or without pharmacokinetic adjustment (E. Gamelin).
- (g) One trial is comparing no further treatment to prolonged chemotherapy, in patients whose disease has not progressed during the first 12 weeks of chemotherapy (T. Maughan).

Table 2. Treatment concepts investigated in advanced colorectal cancer

	Concepts	Co-ordinator
(a)	Immediate versus delayed treatment FU b/FA immediate versus FU b/FA delayed	Sargeant
(b)	FU continuous infusion versus FU bolus FU ci (48) versus FU b FU ci (24) FA versus FU b FU b/MTX versus FU b/MTX alternated with FU ci/FA	Aranda Aguilar Schmoll, Köhne, W <i>e</i> h Sobrero, Labianca
(c)	Modulation of 5-FU FU b versus 5-FU b/LD FA FU b/FA/hydroxyurea versus various schedules	Borner Di Costanzo
(d)	Dose of 5-FU FU b/FA 400 versus 500 versus 600 mg/m ²	Glimelius, Jacobsen
(e)	Comparison of different schedules of 5-FU continuous infusion FU ci (24) versus FU ci (24) + FA FU PVI versus FUPVI + MMC FU PVI versus FU b, ci (48)	Schmoll, Köhne Cunningham Maughan
(f)	Duration of treatment 3 months versus > 3 months	Maughan
(g)	Pharmacokinetic adjustment FU ci (8)/FA weekly versus same + pharmacokinetic adjustment	Gamelin
(h)	Combination 5-FU/oxalipatin FU b ci, (48)/FA versus FU b, ci (48)/FA/oxaliplatin	de Gramont
(i)	UFT versus FU UFT + LD FA versus FU b/FA	Chazard
(j)	5-FU continuous infusion versus Tomudex FU PVI versus Tomudex FU b, ci (48) versus Tomudex	Maughan Maughan
(k)	Hepatic arterial FU/FA HA versus FU/FA iv FU/FA HA versus FUDR HA FUDR HA versus FU/FA iv	Lorenz, Kerr Lorenz Pancera, Lorenz
(1)	Second-line therapy CPT-11 versus best supportive care CPT-11 versus FU b or ci or PVI 2nd line FU b, ci/FA + oxaliplatin	Cunningham Rougier de Gramont
(m)	Phase I combination with new agents CPT-11 alternating with FU b/FA	Van Cutsem

FU, 5-fluorouracil; FA, folinic acid; b, bolus; ci, continuous infusion; (), hours infusion; MTX, methotrexate; LD, low dose; PVI, protracted venous infusion; MMC, mitomycin C; UFT, uracil/ftorafur.

Ultimately, new agents are upcoming:

- (a) Oxaliplatin combined with bolus and continuous infusion of 5-FU/FA is being compared with the same schedule of 5-FU/FA alone as first-line treatment or is given as second-line treatment in patients progressing after 5-FU (A. de Gramont).
- (b) Uracil/ftorafur with FA is being compared with standard 5-FU bolus with low-dose FA (M. Chazard).
- (c) 'Tomudex' is being compared with protracted or continuous 5-FU infusion (T. Maughan).
- (d) CPT-11 is being investigated as second-line treatment after failure of an adequate regimen of 5-FU versus best supportive care (D. Cunningham) or another choice of 5-FU therapy (Ph. Rougier) or in phase I alternating CPT-11 and 5-FU/FA bolus (E. Van Cutsem).

Radiotherapy. Radiotherapy has not been much investigated in colon cancer. One trial is investigating whether the addition of radiation therapy improves overall survival and/or local disease-free interval in patients with completely resected, histologically proven adenocarcinoma of the colon T4-N0 and T3-4, N1-2)(A.M. Sargeant).

In contrast, radiotherapy is considered by many as a standard treatment for rectal cancer. Pre-operative radiotherapy has been able to halve the rate of local recurrence. Although postoperative radiotherapy alone did not show similar benefit, a definite impact on the local recurrence rate and survival was shown when combined with chemotherapy. However, immediate and late small bowel toxicity is high [42].

It has been argued that, in previous trials of radiotherapy, surgery was suboptimal. Therefore, a new trial has been launched investigating the role of radiotherapy before standardised total mesorectal surgery (G.J. Liefers, L. Pahlman, C. van de Velde). The role of pre-operative radiotherapy combined with or without chemotherapy is being investigated by the EORTC Radiotherapy (J.F. Bosset) and Nordic Colorectal Cancer Study Group (K.M. Tveit, B. Glimelius, N. Wilking), which is also investigating the role of intra-operative radiotherapy.

The best way of delivering 5-FU is being investigated in a three-arm study comparing: bolus 5-FU versus protracted venous infusion (PVI) of 5-FU given before and after pelvic radiotherapy versus bolus 5-FU/FA/Lev given before and after pelvic radiotherapy (D. Johnston).

Adjuvant treatment after metastases resection. In colorectal cancer, liver and/or lung metastases can sometimes be resected. The 5-year survival is approximately 25% [43]. Would 'adjuvant' chemotherapy improve survival? This question is being examined in a large intergroup trial comparing 5-FU/FA after liver or lung metastases resection with no immediate further treatment (H. Bleiberg, D. Nitti, A. Fields, B. Langer, R. Labianca, S. Marsoni, F. Lazorthes, G.J. Poston). Intraarterial 5-FU/FA is also under investigation (M. Lorenz).

Intra-arterial treatment. Unresectable liver metastases have been treated by intra-arterial chemotherapy. Although this approach is successful in experienced centres, it has never been shown to be superior to systemic treatment [44]. Moreover, the morbidity of the procedure might be unacceptable in comparison with the most recently developed systemic treatments. Nevertheless, the rationale for using local treatment is appealing and deserves further research within the frame of clinical trials.

- (a) Intra-arterial 5-FU/FA (FA 200 mg/m², 5-FU c.i. 1000 mg/m²) d1-5 is being compared with intra-arterial FUDR (0.2 mg/kg) d1-14 every 28 days and with intravenous 5-FU/FA (FA 200 mg/m², 5-FU c.i. 800 mg/m²) days 1-5 every 28 days (M. Lorenz).
- (b) Intra-arterial administration of FUDR/dexamethasone is being compared with 5-FU/FA i.v. bolus and with the administration of both modalities (G. Pancera).
- (c) Intravenous bolus and continuous 5-FU/FA (FA 200 mg/m² d1-2; 5-FU bolus 400 mg/m² d1-2, 5-FU c.i. 600 mg/m² d1-2, every two weeks) is being compared with the same schedule given by the hepatic arterial route (D. Kerr).

Anal cancer

Recent studies have shown that prolonged radiotherapy combined with 5-FU and MMC can improve colostomy-free survival time and overall survival [45]. An attempt to improve these results by combining split-course radiotherapy with PVI 5-FU delivered during the two radiotherapy sequences and MMC given before each radiotherapy course is currently being investigated (J.F. Bosset, F. Roelofsen).

DISCUSSION

Clinical research in the field of the gastrointestinal cancers is expanding rapidly. Progress has been modest with only little gain in survival for patients with advanced disease. Besides cisplatin, practically no new active agent has been identified in GIT cancer for the last 40 years. However, since the early 1990s, agents with demonstrated activity are manifold: topoisomerase I inhibitors, CPT-11 and topotecan; another platinum derivative, oxaliplatin; thymidylate synthase inhibitors such as 'Tomudex'; taxoids such as paclitaxel and docetaxel and other agents such as vinorelbine or gemcitabine. The development of the new technologies already shows that other new compounds targeting other mechanisms of tumour growth and/or tumour invasiveness are upcoming and will soon expand to the field of clinical research. The precise place of these agents in our armamentarium remains to be settled and this will require rapidly accruing trials with large numbers of patients.

In parallel with the emergence of new active agents, most European countries have started organising clinical research on a national basis. The present list of trials underlines the magnitude of the interest expressed for GIT cancers among European physicians. We have identified in 14 countries, 43 co-operative groups organising 84 multicentric clinical trials (Appendices 1 and 2). Four pharmaceutical companies are also involved in major projects in gastrointestinal cancers. Obviously, many questions are raised at the same time. Too many studies have a low accrual rate of which a significant proportion will not be able to reach the required number of patients. In colorectal cancers, for example, a total of 43 trials exploring 22 concepts are currently open for patients' registration (Tables 1 and 2). Each question by itself appears to be important but it would certainly be more effective to have less concepts investigated at the same time and more patients per study. It may be asked whether some investigators would not have modified their choice if they had known about each other?

Establishing the Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Liaison Office will help to keep investigators informed of what is being done in their field in Europe. It will promote and facilitate interactions between researchers and stimulate intergroup studies. Under the auspices of the EORTC, the GITCLO organises biennial meetings where investigators exchange their opinions and may discuss their data. One may hope that the national co-operative groups will take advantage of that new accessibility to what's going on in their field of interest to define more accurately priorities and to design studies in a way that will allow further pooling of the results. This has already been performed for two adjuvant studies in gastric cancer (FAMTX and FEMTX versus control) and in the adjuvant treatment after resection of liver or lung metastases of colorectal origin for which biostatisticians and data centres have already foreseen the basis for a common analysis.

As a result of the GITCLO activities, an attempt has been made to initiate a first Pan European Intergroup Trial for adjuvant treatment of colon cancer [46]. This study allows the co-operative groups to compare standard adjuvant treatment (bolus 5-FU and folinic acid) versus a potentially more active schedule (infusional 5-FU) or a simpler way of delivering treatment (Tomudex) (J. Wils).

We hope that our undertaking will be successful and that the present attempt to clarify the situation of clinical research in the field of cancers of the digestive system in Europe will speed up therapeutic progress in the best interest of the patients.

- Gerard A, Buyse M, Norlinger B, et al. Pre-operative radiotherapy as adjuvant treatment in rectal cancer. Ann Surg 1986, 208, 606-614.
- Bleiberg H, Goffin JC, Dalesio O, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy in resectable gastric cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol 1989, 15, 535-543.
- Gignoux M, Roussel A, Paillot B, et al. The value of pre-operative radiotherapy in oesophageal cancer: results of a study of the EORTC. World J Surg 1987, 1, 426-432.
- Moertel CG, Fleming TH, Macdonald JS. Levamisole and fluorouracil for adjuvant therapy of resected colon carcinoma. N Engl J Med 1990, 322, 352-358.
- Advanced Colorectal Cancer Meta-Analysis Project. Modulation of fluorouracil by folinic acid in patients with advanced colorectal cancer: evidence in terms of response rate. J Clin Oncol 1992, 10, 896-903.
- Wils J, Klein HO, Wagener DJTh, et al. Sequential high dose methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil combined with doxorubicin: a step ahead in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer. A trial of the EORTC Gastrointestinal Tract Cooperative Group. J Clin Oncol 1991, 9, 827-831.
- Bleiberg H. Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer Liaison Office (GIT-CLO), EORTC, 1994.
- Gignoux M, Roussel A, Paillot B, et al. The value of preoperative radiotherapy in esophageal cancer: results of a study of the EORTC. World J Surg 1987, 11, 426-432.
- Bleiberg H, Jacob JH, Bedenne L, et al. Randomized phase II trial of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and cisplatin (DDP) versus DDP alone in advanced oesophageal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1991, 10, 147(abstr).
- Conroy T, Etienne PL, Adenis A, et al. Phase II trial of vinorelbine in metastatic squamous cell esophageal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 1996, 14, 164-170.
- 11. Bleiberg H, Gerard B, Deguiral P. Adjuvant therapy in resectable gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 1992, 66, 987-991.
- Wils J. The treatment of advanced gastric cancer. Semin Oncol 1996, 23, 397-406.
- Rougier Ph, Ducreux M, Mahjoubi M, et al. Efficacy of combined 5-fluorouracil and cisplatinum in advanced gastric carcinomas. A phase II trial with prognostic factor analysis. Eur. J. Cancer 1994, 30, 1263-1269.
- Wilke H, Preusser P, Fink U, et al. Etoposide (E)/leucovorin(L)/ 5-fluorouracil (F) (ELF) in advanced gastric carcinoma-A phase

- I/II trial. Proc of the NCI-EORTC Symposium on New Drugs in Cancer Therapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, March 7-10, 1989 (abstr 323).
- Wilke H, Preusser P, Fink U, et al. New developments in the treatment of gastric carcinoma. Semin Oncol 1990, 17(suppl 2), 61-70.
- Webb A, Cunningham D, Scarffe JH, et al. A randomized trial comparing ECF with FAMTX in advanced oesophago-gastric cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1996, 15, 465(abstr).
- 17. Ravoet C, Bleiberg H, Gerard B. Non-surgical treatment of hepatocarcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 1993, 3, 104-111.
- Pelletier G, Roche A, Ink O, et al. A randomized trial of hepatic arterial chemoembolisation in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 1990, 77, 181-184.
- Bleiberg H, Grimaldi C, Gay F, et al. Double blind clinical trial of antiandrogen therapy vs placebo in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1993, 12, 193(abstr).
- Castells A, Bruix J, Bru C, et al. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with tamoxifen: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial in 120 patients. Gastroenterology 1995, 109, 917-922.
- Farinati F, Salvagnini M, de Maria N, et al. Unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective controlled trial with tamoxifen. J Hepatol 1990, 11, 297–301.
- O'Connell MJ. Current status of chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 1985, 3, 1032–1039.
- Wils J. Chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer: a rational pursuit? Anti-Cancer Drugs 1991, 2, 3-10.
- Palmer KR, Kerr M, Knowles G, et al. Chemotherapy prolongs survival in inoperable pancreatic cancer. Br J Surg 1994, 81, 882-885.
- Glimelius B, Hoffman K, Sjöden PO, et al. Chemotherapy improved survival and quality of life in advanced pancreatic and biliary cancer. Ann Oncol 1996, 7, 593–601.
- Wagener DJTh, de Mulder PHM, Wils JA. Multimodality treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Ann Oncol* 1994, 5(suppl 3), 81–86.
- Wagener DJTh, Verdonck HER, Dirix LY, et al. Phase II trial of CPT-11 in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer, an EORTC early clinical trial group study. Ann Oncol 1995, 6, 129– 132.
- Rougier Ph, De Forni M, Adenis A, et al. Phase II study of taxotere (RP56976, docetaxel) in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1994, 13, 200(abstr).
- Moore M, Andersen J, Burris H, et al. A randomized trial of gemcitabine (Gem) versus 5-FU as first line therapy in advanced pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1995, 14, 199(abstr).
- Wolmark N, Rockette H, Fisher B, et al. The benefit of Leucovorin-Modulated Fluorouracil as postoperative adjuvant therapy for primary colon cancer: results from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocol C-03. J Clin Oncol 1993, 11, 1879–1887.
- International Multicentre Pooled Analysis of Colon Cancer Trials (IMPACT) investigators. Efficacy of adjuvant fluorouracil and folinic acid in colon cancer. *Lancet* 1995, 345, 939–944.
- Blijham GH. Chemotherapy of colorectal cancer. Anti-Cancer Drugs 1991, 2, 233-245.
- Erlichman C, Sheldon Fine, Wong A, Elhakim T. A randomized trial of fluorouracil and folinic acid in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 1988, 6, 469–475.
- Poon MA, O'Connel MJ, Wienand HS, et al. Biochemical modulation of fluorouracil with leucovorin: confirmatory evidence of improved therapeutic efficacy in advanced colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1991, 9, 1967-1972.
- Ardalan B, Chua L, Tian E, et al. A phase II study of weekly 24-hour infusion with high-dose fluorouracil with leucovorin in colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 1991, 9, 625-630.
- Diaz-Rubio E, Aranda E, Camps C, et al. A phase II study of weekly 48-hour infusion with high dose fluorouracil in advanced colorectal cancer. J Infusion Chemother 1994, 4, 7-10.
- Lokich JL, Ahlgren JD, Gullo JJ, Philips JA, Fryer JG. A prospective randomized comparison of continuous infusion fluorouracil with a conventional bolus schedule in metastatic colorectal carcinoma: a Mid-Atlantic Oncology Program Study. J Clin Oncol 1989, 7, 425-432.
- 38. Levi F, Zidani R, Vannetzel JM, et al. Chronomodulated versus fixed-infusion-rate delivery of ambulatory chemotherapy with

- oxalipatin, fluorouracil, and folinic acid (leucovorin) in patients with colorectal cancer metastases: a randomized multi-institutional trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994, 86, 1608–1617.
- 39. Seitz JF, Cunningham D, Rath U, et al. Final results and survival data of a large randomized trial of "Tomudex" in advanced colorectal cancer (ACC) confirm comparable efficacy to 5-fluorouracil plus leucovorin (5FU + LV). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1996, 16, 201(abstr).
- Rougier Ph, Bugat R. CPT-11 in the treatment of colorectal cancer: clinical efficacy and safety profile. Semin Oncol 1996, 23(suppl 3), 34-41.
- Machover D, Diaz-Rubio E, de Gramont A, et al. Two consecutive phase II studies of oxaliplatin (L-OHP) for treatment of patients with advanced colorectal carcinoma who were resistant to previous treatment with fluoropyrimidines. Ann Oncol 1996, 7, 95-98
- 42. Krook JE, Moertel CG, Gunderson LL, et al. Effective surgical adjuvant therapy for high-risk rectal carcinoma. N Engl J Med 1991, 324, 709-715.
- 43. Doci R, Gennari L, Bignani P, et al. One hundred patients with hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer treated by resection:

- analysis of prognostic determinants. Br J Surg 1991, 78, 797-801.
- 44. Meta-Analysis Group in Cancer. Reappraisal of hepatic arterial infusion in the treatment of non resectable liver metastases from colorectal cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1996, 88, 252-258.
- 45. Roelofsen F, Bosset JF, Eschwège F, et al. Concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy superior to radiotherapy alone in the treatment of locally advanced anal cancer. Results of a phase III randomized trial of the EORTC Radiotherapy and Gastrointestinal Cooperative Groups. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1996, 454, 194(abstr).
- 46. Wils J, Bleiberg H, Rougier Ph. Adjuvant treatment of colon cancer: a plea for a large scale European trial. Eur J Cancer 1994, 30A, 578-579.

Acknowledgements—This work was supported by an educational grant from Rhone-Poulenc Rorer, Zeneca Pharmaceuticals and Glaxo-Wellcome. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Anne Denis in the preparation of the manuscript.

APPENDIX 1

Study co-ordinator	Phone	Fax
Allum W.	(44) 372 72 61 00	(44) 372 74 34 21
Andre Th.	(33) 1 40 30 60 21	(33) 1 40 50 78 84
Aranda Aguilar E.	(34) 57 21 75 30	(34) 57 21 82 29
Bedenne L.	(33) 3 80 66 80 13	(33) 3 80 38 18 41
Bleiberg H.	(32) 2 535 35 67	(32) 2 538 08 58
Borner M.	(41) 31 632 84 42	(41) 31 632 41 19
Bosset J.F.	(33) 3 81 66 82 40	(33) 3 81 66 85 51
Bury J.	(32) 87 27 20 04	• •
Cascinu S.	(39) 71 59 64 165	(32) 87 27 22 22
Cedermark B.	(46) 8 729 20 00	(39) 71 88 25 82
Cervantes Ruiperez A.	• •	(46) 8 33 15 87
Chazard M.	(34) 6 386 26 25	(34) 6 386 21 44
Cocconi G.	(33) 1 40 90 61 69	(33) 1 40 90 60 37
Gram R.	(39) 521 99 13 14/16	(39) 521 99 54 48
	(44) 1865 79 25 29	(44) 1865 72 60 03
Conroy T.	(33) 3 83 59 84 61	(33) 3 83 59 84 14
Cunningham D.	(44) 181 642 60 11	(44) 181 643 84 14
de Gramont A.	(33) 1 49 28 23 36	(33) 1 49 28 23 44
Di Costanzo F.	(39) 744 20 55 76/77	(39) 744 20 56 32
Dogliotti L.	(39) 11 902 65 11	(39) 11 902 66 76
Ducreux M.	(33) 1 45 59 49 09	(33) 1 45 59 63 78
Falcone A.	(39) 50 59 20 70	(39) 50 59 20 69
Fields A.	(1) 403 492 87 63	(1) 403 492 73 59
Fingerhut A.	(33) 1 39 79 51 64	(33) 1 39 79 28 92
Fink U.	(49) 89 41 40 20 95	(49) 89 41 40 48 61
Frassinetti L.	(39) 543 73 17 37	(39) 543 73 17 37
Gamelin E.	(33) 2 41 35 27 00	(33) 2 41 48 31 90
Girling D.J.	(44) 223 31 11 10	(44) 223 31 18 44
Glimelius B.	(46) 18 66 30 00	(46) 18 55 92 79
Guillemin F.	(33) 3 83 59 84 87	(33) 3 83 44 60 71
Herrmann R.	(41) 61 265 50 75	(41) 61 265 53 16
Jakesz R.	(43) 1 40 400 56 21	(43) 1 40 400 68 09
Jakobsen A.	(45) 75 72 72 33	(45) 75 72 70 79
Johnston D.	(1) 613 545 63 40	(1) 613 545 29 41
Kerr D.J.	(44) 121 414 38 02	(44) 121 414 37 00
Klijn J.G.M	(31) 10 43 91 733	(31) 10 48 51 618
Köhne C.H.	(49) 30 94 17 12 05	(49) 30 94 17 12 99
Kok T.C.	(31) 10 463 92 22 tracer 4637	(31) 10 463 46 27
Labianca R.	(39) 40 22 22 41	(39) 40 22 23 23
Laffer U.	(41) 32 24 37 84	(41) 32 24 37 82
Lazorthes F.	(33) 5 61 77 21 80	(33) 5 61 77 76 15
Liefers G.J.	(31) 71 526 35 00	(31) 71 526 67 44
Lise M.	(39) 49 821 20 55	(39) 49 651 891
Lorenz M.	(49) 69 6301 75 90	(49) 69 63 01 43 47
Marsoni S.	(39) 2 35 79 45 17	(39) 2 33 30 02 31
Maughan T.	(44) 1 222 61 58 88	(44) 1 222 69 41 79
Meijer D.C.M.	(31) 10 463 46 31	(31) 10 463 53 07
Neoptolemos J.	(44) 151 706 41 75	(44) 151 706 58 26
Nitti D.	(39) 49 821 20 55	(39) 49 651 891

Nordlinger B.	(33) 1 49 28 25 30	(33) 1 49 28 25 48
Pahlman L.	(46) 18 66 30 00	(46) 18 66 46 32
Pancera G.	(39) 2 40 22 26 25	(39) 2 40 22 23 23
Plukker Th.	(31) 50 361 23 49	(31) 50 361 48 73
Porschen R.	(49) 70 71 29 82 723	(49) 70 71 29 44 57
Poston G.J.	(44) 151 706 34 84	(44) 151 706 58 27
Raemaekers J.	(31) 80 61 47 62	(31) 80 64 07 88
Roelofsen F.	(49) 201 630 12 24	(49) 201 865 14 09
Rosen H.	(43) 1 288 02 33 02	(43) 1 288 02 33 80
Roth A.	(41) 22 372 77 43	(41) 22 372 77 07
Rougier Ph.	(33) 1 45 59 43 08	(33) 1 45 59 43 10
Ruskone-Fourmestreaux A.	(33) 1 42 34 80 84	(33) 1 42 34 85 88
Sargeant A.M.	(1) 613 545 64 30	(1) 613 545 29 41
Schmoll H.J.	(49) 345 557 26 06	(49) 345 557 22 53
Seitz J-F	(33) 4 91 22 33 02	(33) 4 91 22 35 52
Siersema P.D.	(31) 10 463 92 22, tracer 5664	(31) 10 463 32 68
Simnett S.	(44) 1223 31 11 10	(44) 1223 31 18 44
Sobrero A.	(39) 55 41 19 19	(39) 55 41 71 23
Songun I.	(31) 71 526 35 00	(31) 71 526 67 44
Souhami R.	(44) 171 300 90 92	(44) 171 436 29 56
Tviet K.M.	(47) 229 340 00	(47) 225 23 009
Van Cutsem E.	(32) 16 34 42 18	(32) 16 34 44 19
Ychou M.	(33) 4 67 61 31 88	(33) 4 67 61 30 23
Weh H.J.	(49) 40 4717 39 19/29 60	(49) 40 4764 94
Wilke H.J.	(49) 201 174 12 51	(49) 201 174 12 55
Wilking N.	(46) 8 729 51 96	(46) 8 30 69 89
Wilkinson J.	(44) 181 658 221 11	(44) 181 639 71 50
Wils J.	(31) 475 38 24 66	(31) 475 38 24 36
Zeitoun G.	(33) 1 47 60 63 89	(33) 1 47 60 63 92

APPENDIX 2

CO-OPERATIVE GROUP DENOMINATION: COUNTRY CODE, CHAIRMEN, TELEPHONE, FAX

Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gastroenterologische G D Strohmeyer G.	Onkologie in Nordrhein-Westfalen (AGEO-NRW) Tel: (49) 21 13 11 81 87	Fax: (49) 21 18 11 87 52
Arbeitsgemeinschaft Internistische Onkolog D Höffken K.	rie (AIO) Tel: (49) 36 41 63 91 00	Fax: (49) 36 41 63 92 19
Arbeitsgemeinschaft Lebermetastasen (ALI D Lorenz M.	M) der Deutschen Krebsgesellschaft Tel: (49) 69 631 43 46	Fax: (49) 69 631 43 47
Arbeitsgruppe Chirurgische Onkologie (ACA Rosen H.	CO) Working Group for Stomach Cancer Tel: (43) 1 288 02 33 02	Fax: (43) 1 288 02 33 80
Associazione Chirurgi Ospedalieri Italiani (I Boffi L.	ACOI) Tel: (39) 55 427 72 27	Fax: (39) 55 422 15 81
Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Stud A Jakesz R.	dy Group Tel: (43) 1 40 400 56 21	Fax: (43) 1 40 400 68 09
Groupe Régional d'Etude des Cancers Cole B Focan C.	orectaux (GRECR) Tel: (32) 41 24 89 90	Fax: (32) 41 24 89 91
Cancer Research Campaign United Kingdo U.K. Whitehouse J.M.A.	` '	Fax: (44) 703 82 54 41
Clinical Trial Service Unit U.K. Williams N.S.	Tel: (44) 171 377 70 79	Fax: (44) 171 377 72 83
Danish Colorectal Cancer Group — DAKI DK Jakobsen A.	REKA Tel: (45) 75 72 72 33	Fax: (45) 75 72 70 79
Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group (DCRCG NL Van De Velde C.J.	• •	Fax: (31) 71 526 67 50
Dutch Gastric Cancer Group (DGCG) NL Van De Velde C.J.	Tel: (31) 71 526 23 09	Fax: (31) 71 526 67 50
EORTC Lymphoma Cooperative Group NL Noordijk E.M.	Tel: (31) 71 526 30 57	Fax: (31) 71 22 70 90
European Study Group for Pancreatic Can D Berger H.	` '	Fax: (49) 371 502 72 09
	Contre le Cancer Groupe Digestif (FNCLCC) Tel: (33) 1 45 59 43 08	Fax: (33) 1 45 59 63 78
Fondation Française de Cancérologie Dige F Faivre J.	` '	Fax: (33) 3 80 66 82 51
French Association of Surgical Research F Hay JM.	Tel: (33) 1 47 60 63 91	Fax: (33) 1 47 60 63 92
• •		

Groupe des Tumeurs Digestives—Fédératio F Seitz JF.	n Nationale de Lutte contre le Cancer (GTD-FNC Tel: (33) 4 91 22 33 02	LCC) Fax: (33) 4 91 22 35 52
Groupe Français de Radiothérapie Préopéra F Dubois J.B.	toire Tel: (33) 4 67 61 31 34	Fax: (33) 4 67 61 31 35
Groupe d'Etude et de Recherche sur les Car F de Gramont A.	ncers de l'Ovaire et Digestifs (GERCOD) Tel: (33) 1 49 28 23 36	Fax: (33) 1 49 28 23 44
Grupo Español para el Tratamiento de Tun E Diaz-Rubio E.	nores Digestivos (TTD) Tel: (34) 1 330 35 46	Fax: (34) 1 330 35 44
Gruppo Interdisciplinare Valutazione Interv I Marsoni S.	enti in Oncologia (GIVIO) Tel: (39) 2 39 01 45 40	Fax: (39) 2 33 20 02 31
Hamburg Gastro-Intestinal Tumour Study of Weh H.J.	Group Tel: (49) 40 4717 39 19/29 60	Fax: (49) 40 4764 94
Intergruppo Nazionale Terapia Adiuvante C I Rosso R.	Carcinoma Colon (INTACC) Tel: (39) 10 353 46 68	Fax: (39) 10 355 562
Italian Group for Cancer Research (GOIRCI Cocconi G.	:) Tel: (39) 521 99 13 14/16	Fax: (39) 521 99 54 48
Italian Group for the Study of Digestive Tra I Luporini G.	act Cancers (GISCAD) Tel: (39) 2 40 22 22 55	Fax: (39) 2 40 22 23 23
Medical Research Council Colorectal Cance U.K. McArdle C.	er Working Party Tel: (44) 1 31 537 15 67	Fax: (44) 1 31 343 65 29
Medical Research Council U.K. Bancewicz J.	Tel: (44) 161 787 51 28	Fax: (44) 161 878 59 92
Medical Research Council, British Stomach U.K. Cuschieri A.	Cancer Group Tel: (44) 1382 66 21 74	Fax: (44) 1382 64 17 95
National Cancer Institute of Canada — Clir Can Cripps C.	nical Trials Group (NCIC CTG) Tel: (1) 613 725 62 54	Fax: (1) 613 725 63 20
National Cancer Institute of Canada — Clin Can Stern H.	nical Trials Group (NCIC CTG) Tel: (1) 613 54 56 430	Fax: (1) 613 45 29 41
National Cancer Institute of Canada — Clir Can Fisher B.	nical Trials Group NCIC, CTG Tel: (1) 519 685 85 00/86 49	Fax: (1) 519 685 86 27
National Cancer Institute of Canada — Clir Can Moore M.	nical Trials Group Tel: (1) 416 924 06 71	Fax: (1) 416 413 20 26
Nordic Gastrointestinal Tumour Study Gro S Glimelius B.	up Tel: (46) 18 66 30 00	Fax: (46) 18 66 55 28
Pyloris Preserving Whipple Trial (PPW) NL Jeekel J.	Tel: (31) 10 463 92 22	Fax: (31) 10 463 53 07
Rotterdam Esophageal Tumour Study Grou NL Eijkenboom W.M.H.	rp Tel: (31) 10 463 92 22 tracer 4637	Fax: (31) 10 463 46 27
Rotterdam Cancer Center NL Stoter G.	Tel: (31) 10 439 13 31	Fax: (31) 10 48 51 618
Royal Marsden Hospital U.K. Cunningham D.C.	Tel: (44) 81 642 60 11	Fax: (44) 81 643 94 14
Stockholm Colorectal Cancer Study Group S Cedermark B.	Tel: (46) 8 729 20 00	Fax: (46) 8 33 15 87
Swedish Rectal Cancer Trial S Pahlman L.	Tel: (46) 18 66 30 00	Fax: (46) 18 66 46 32
Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (CH Goldhirsch A.	SAKK) Tel: (41) 31 389 91 91	Fax: (41) 31 389 92 00
United Kingdom Co-ordinating Committee U.K. James R.D.	for Cancer Research (UKCCR) Tel: (44) 161 446 33 63	Fax: (44) 161 446 34 80
United Kingdom Co-ordinating Committee U.K. Poston G.J.	for Cancer Research/Medical Research Council (U Tel: (44) 151 706 34 84	KCCCR/MRC) Fax: (44) 151 706 58 27